

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/872,958	06/01/2001	Amarnath R. Arsikere		4101
7:	590 11/30/2004		EXAM	IINER
Legal Departr	nent		PHAM, B	RENDA H
Teradyne, Inc. 321 Harrison A	venue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Boston, MA 02118			2664	
			DATE MAILED: 11/30/200	<b>A</b>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/872,958	ARSIKERE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brenda Pham	2664
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION.  137 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a renication.  days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty atory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ill, by statute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>01 June 2001</u> .	
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2t	o)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	•	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-20</u> is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restricti	withdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		,
9) The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to t	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any object	ion to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority d  2. Certified copies of the priority d  3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation.  * See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in Apple of the priority documents have been all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) M Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O-948) Paper No(s	)/Mail Date
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/1/2001.</li> </ol>	TO/SB/08) 5) \ Notice of In 6) \ Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-152) ·

Application/Control Number: 09/872,958 Page 2

Art Unit: 2664

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-20 have been examined.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph as being lacks of antecedent basis in the claim.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "said at least two datagrams" in 8 and 10, respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claim 1 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 4. Claims 2-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. Claims 14-20 are allowed over prior art.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art made of record does not teach for fairly suggest in combination a method of measuring traffic at a node under test in a network, comprising: sending a plurality of first type datagrams from a source node, each first type datagram having a path through the network including the node under test, each first type datagram

Application/Control Number: 09/872,958

Art Unit: 2664

causing the node under test to generate a second type datagram, and each second type datagram having a path through the network that includes the source node; processing at least two of the second type datagrams to determine a number of datagrams processed by the node under test between said at least two of second type datagram; determining the time between the at least two of second type datagrams; and computing a traffic value reflecting the ratio between the determined number of messages and the determined time recited in claim 1.

The prior art made of record does not teach a method of measuring traffic at node under test in a network, comprising: sending a pair of first type datagrams from a source node separated by a time interval, each of the first type datagrams having a path through the network including the node under test; each of the first type datagrams having a time to live field causing the datagram to expire at the node under test, thereby causing the node under test to generate a timeout message in response to each first type datagram; processed the timeout messages to determine a number of datagrams processed by the node under test between the timeout messages; computing a traffic value reflecting the number of datagrams processed by the node under test in the time interval recites in claims 14 and 17.

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

(US 6,466,548 B1) Fitzgerald discloses a loop-back interfaces are put into routers in a packet switched network. When an end to end Quality of Service path is

Application/Control Number: 09/872,958 Page 4

Art Unit: 2664

not performing adequately, the delay and jitter characteristics are measured for individual network sybsystems.

(US 6,363,053 B1) Schuster et al disclose a method and apparatus for measurement-based conformance testing of service level agreements in networks. The method includes first collecting quality of service information from the network traffic over a plurality of network nodes. Then, the collected quality of service information is compared to a plurality of specified quality of service levels.

(US 6,064,654) White-Hauser discloses an improved routing technique whereby when a node becomes congested with data traffic, alternative nodes are utilized in order to maintain delays through the network to within acceptable limits. An originating node repetitively measures delays through numerous paths and cause packet to be routed through alternative path as congestion occurs.

#### Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brenda Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-3135. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wellington Chin, can be reached on (571) 272-3134.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

November 24, 2004 Brenda Pham

Brendy A. Pham